

Living Under a Court-Imposed Tyranny

Vicki Gunn

Executive Director, CHP Canada

As Canadians race to keep up with decisions made by our Supreme Rulers at the Supreme Court of Canada (SCOC) and their provincial counterparts...the legalization of killing unborn babies, prostitution, euthanasia, taxpayer-funded drug sites—the list goes on...we find our subservient elected officials grovelling in obedience to their masters.

The 2015 election cost Canadian taxpayers \$443M...for what? For some public relations people to carry out the will of their political taskmasters?

So what are our courts up to today in their quest for increased power to govern Canada without the annoyance of elections?

Their latest outrage, according to the Canadian Taxpayers Federation¹, was the SCOC's decision to reject CTF's request for intervenor status in a very important case between public sector unions and the interests of taxpayers. This citizen's group, dedicated to lower taxes, less waste, and accountable government, says that the BC Teachers' Federation (BCTF) "is trying to constitutionalize collective agreements, such that nothing granted by a government to a union could ever be altered without the union's permission. If the union wins, it will mean that union-friendly politicians, on their political deathbed, could sign long-term contracts with their favourite government employees' union, promising massive wage increases, job protection, or gold-plated pension payments—you name it—and no future government could do anything about it."

Reminds me of the law of the Medes and the Persians, usually invoked to keep bad laws from being changed.

While it may seem far-fetched to have any government at the mercy of the poor decisions of previous governments, a court decision in favour of BC teachers' union could entrench—for instance— a deal made by the past NDP government in Manitoba, in which they agreed to no layoffs for five years. This would prevent the current Conservative government from making any labour decisions for the duration of their term. This definitely impedes the will of the electorate through preserving policies brought about by a rejected government.

When we, the voters, reject one government and choose another, we anticipate that the policies that we have embraced will be enacted and the ones we have rejected be removed.

Of course, we have to question the issue of bias at the Supreme Court when the only dissenting viewpoint is prevented² from presenting to the Supreme Court of Canada. Is this a case of "don't confuse me with the facts, my mind is made up?"

Twelve unions were approved to have intervenor status, (we certainly have no problem with this) but the one group that represents taxpayers was rejected. The average Canadian is acceptable as the one who pays the bills but is not acceptable to offer an opinion.

Surely as in days of old, prior to our heritage of the equality of all under the law, our rulers sought a god-like status. Today, when the self-appointed supreme rulers make a decision, that decision may not be questioned by us mere mortals.

Here we are in the new Canada: an oligarchy of judges ties the hands of elected officials. The elected officials, in their effort to remain relevant, while carrying out the orders of their masters, force the public to accept the unacceptable.

Where does that leave your average Canadian? Voiceless! Violated! Victims of a hijacked democracy!

The concern of the courts taking over governance was expressed back in the 1980s, when our Constitution was under discussion. The control put in place, the Notwithstanding Clause, has fallen into disrepute by the fear-mongering of the political left. Their refusal to use the one protection we have has left our democracy at risk.

It's time for Canadians to take back our country, to take back our democracy. There is only one political party with the will to protect the Canadian birthright of "rule by the people." Join CHP Canada³ today! Then, join your arms in solidarity with those who are actively working to preserve our great heritage.

Action Item (YOU can make a difference!)

Email the attorneys-general from across the country, and ask them to stand up for taxpayers. Seven of them have gained automatic intervenor status.

Email Canada's Attorney-General, Jody Wilson-Raybould (mcu@justice.gc.ca) and encourage her stand up for taxpayers with her intervenor status.

If you live in BC, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, Ontario, Quebec or Newfoundland, email your own Attorney-General and ask them to represent their taxpayer-constituents:

- *Suzanne Anton, Attorney-General of BC (JAG.Minister@gov.bc.ca)*
- *Kathleen Ganley, Attorney-General of Alberta (ministryofjustice@gov.ab.ca)*
- *Gordon Wyant, Attorney-General of Saskatchewan (jus.minister@gov.sk.ca)*
- *Heather Stefanson, Attorney-General of Manitoba (minjus@leg.gov.mb.ca)*
- *Yasir Naqvi, Attorney-General of Ontario (attorneygeneral@ontario.ca)*
- *Stephanie Vallee, Attorney-General of Québec (informations@justice.gouv.qc.ca)*
- *Andrew Parsons, Attorney-General of Newfoundland and Labrador (justice@gov.nl.ca)*

Footnotes

¹ www.taxpayer.com/news-releases/supreme-court-to-only-hear-from-big-governments-and-big-unions-in-landmark-case

² www.taxpayer.com

³ www.chp.ca/get-involved